HEATS OF VAPORIZATION OF ALKYL ACETATES AND PROPIONATES*

V.SVOBODA, F.VESELÝ, R.HOLUB and J.PICK Department of Physical Chemistry, Prague Institute of Chemical Technology, 166 28 Prague 6

Received December 2nd, 1975

Heats of vaporization of methyl, ethyl, and propyl acetates and methyl and ethyl propionates were measured at various temperatures. The data were correlated with good results by a two-constant equation. The values of heats of vaporization were then used for calculating cohesion energies of the individual esters.

In a previous communication¹ we reported values of heats of vaporization of alkyl formates as the first step of more detailed measurements of heats of vaporization of alkyl esters of aliphatic monobasic acids. This work collects data from our experimental investigation of heats of vaporization of alkyl acetates and propionates.

EXPERIMENTAL

Preparation and Purity of Substances

Commercial ethyl acetate, methyl propionate, and ethyl propionate, A. R. grade, were employed. Methyl acetate and propyl acetate were prepared by esterification of acetic acid by the corresponding alcohol in the presence of sulphuric acid and the ester layer was separated after saltingout with potassium carbonate. All esters were further dried with anhydrous CaCl₂ and subsequently rectified on a 1.5 m-long column packed with stainless spirals. Middle fractions, whose physicochemical constants were in agreement with literature data, were used for the measurements. Measured and literature data are compared in Table I.

Calorimeter

For the measurements we employed an apparatus of our own design whose detailed description has been given in a previous communication². To simplify manipulation and to increase reproducibility some construction changes in the apparatus were performed. These changes together with the working procedure have been described elsewhere¹.

^{*} Part VIII in the series Enthalpy Data of Liquids; Part VII: This Journal 41, 1 (1976).

RESULTS

The heat of vaporization H_v (cal g⁻¹) has been calculated in all cases from the relation $H_v = (W - q_1 - q_2)/m$, where *m* is mass of evaporated liquid in g, *W* total amount of heat in calories delivered to the calorimeter ($W = 0.239 \text{ UI } \tau_1$), q_1 and q_2 are corrections. The first correction determines the heating input compensating heat leak from the vessel and the second one is an enthalpy correction for the increase of the vapour space of the evaporating vessel. A more detailed description of determination of these corrections has been given in the previous work¹. Results of the measurements are collected in Table II. The fourth column of this table contains our experimental values corrected for the increase of the vapour space, the fifth column gives the difference between corrected experimental data and values calculated from the equation

$$H_{v} = A(1 - T_{r})^{B}, \qquad (1)$$

Table I					
Physicochemical	Constants	of	Pure	Substan	ces

 Substances	Property	This work	Ref. ^{3,4}	
Methyl acetate	t _{NBP} , ℃	57.15	57.1-57.3	
	$n_{\rm D}^{20}$	1.3617	1.3619	
	d_4^{25}	0.9273	0.92730-40	
Ethyl acetate	ι _{NBP} , °C	77.15	77.10-77.18	
	$n_{\rm D}^{20}$	1.3723	1.37239	
	d_4^{25}	0.8945	0.89446-0.89468	
Propyl acetate	t _{NBP} , °C	101.55	101.55-101.6	
	$n_{\rm D}^{20}$	1-3841	1.38406	
	d_{4}^{25}	0.8831	0.88303	
Methyl propionate	∕ _{NBP} , °C	79.7	79.65-79.70	
	$n_{\rm D}^{20}$	1-3773	1.3775	
	d_4^{20}	0.9151	0-9151	
Ethyl propionate	t _{NBP} , °C	99-1	99.0-99.2	
	$n_{\rm D}^{20}$	1.3838	1.38394	
	d_4^{30}	0.8790	0.87903	

in which T_r is the reduced absolute temperature, A and B are constants whose values are in the first column of this table under the name of the ester. Molar volumes of liquids necessary for the calculation of the correction were taken from literature³ except for butyl formate, where this value was estimated from the molar volume of the liquid phase at 20°C (ref.⁴) according to the Watson relation⁵. Molar volumes of vapour were estimated by the method proposed by Pitzer⁶.

Any comparison of our experimental values is difficult. Direct experimental data are known only for methyl acetate at its normal boiling point⁷ (97.0 cal g^{-1}), which

Constants	t, °C	H_{v}^{exp}	$H_{\rm v}^{\rm corr}$	$H_{\rm v}^{\rm corr} - H_{\rm v}^{\rm calc}$
		Methyl	acetate	
	30.85	104.10	103.98	-0.06
A = 156.87	40.30	102.10	101.93	0.09
B = 0.448	54.55	98.66	98.38	0.03
$T_{\rm k} = 506.85$	57.50	98.00	97.69	0.01
		Ethyl	acetate	
	52.70	91.70	91.56	0.09
A = 135.74	57.50	90.66	90.49	-0.08
B = 0.405	70.50	88.23	87.96	-0.08
$T_{\rm b} = 523.2$	77.70	86.95	86.61	0.02
ĸ	90.25	84.50	84.02	0.04
		Propyl	acetate	
	62.95	86.50	86.36	-0.03
A = 128.99	70.50	85.20	85.08	-0.00
B = 0.424	77-70	84.00	83.84	0.04
$T_{\mathbf{k}} = 549 \cdot 3$	90.25	81.75	81.50	-0.01
		Methyl p	propionate	
	52.70	92.80	92.67	0.15
	57.50	91.79	91.63	-0.02
A = 135.70	70.50	89.33	89.09	-0.14
B = 0.402	81.45	87-35	87.01	-0.08
$T_{\rm k} = 530.5$	90.25	85.90	85.46	0.12
		Ethyl p	ropionate	
	62.95	85.75	85.65	-0.01
A = 128.85	70.50	84.40	84·27	-0.06
R = 0.427	77.70	83.30	83.13	0.09
T 546.0	90.25	80.95	80.69	-0.05

TABLE II

Collection Czechoslov, Chem. Commun. [Vol. 42] [1977]

agrees rather well with our results. Our data for the other esters may be compared partially with those obtained by Polák⁸ by calculation from saturated vapour pressures at temperatures of the normal boiling point. Deviations between these calculations (methyl acetate 98.8 cal g^{-1} , ethyl acetate 87.7 cal g^{-1} , propyl acetate 77.8 cal g^{-1} , methyl propionate 88.97 cal g^{-1} , ethyl propionate 80.60 cal g^{-1}) and our data are relatively large (1.5 - 2.2%). This deviation exceeds considerably differences in experimental values of the heat of vaporization of benzene (0.2%), whose heat of vaporization may be up to now considered as most reliable. Taking into account the considerable uncertainty in determination of state behaviour of the individual exters, which has not been so far measured with sufficient accuracy, experimental values of heat of vaporization may be considered as more reliable.

Calculation of Cohesion Energy of Esters

In view of increasing importance of cohesion energy as a characteristic of intermolecular forces, it seemed use our experimental values of heat of vaporization for its calculation.

Cohesion energy is defined as a change in the internal energy of a system due to isothermal evaporation of one mol of liquid at the corresponding vapour pressure into the gaseous state at the same temperature and zero pressure. The total value of ΔU_c may be divided into two terms according to the relation

$$\Delta U_{\rm c} = \Delta U_{\rm c}^{(1)} + \Delta U_{\rm c}^{(2)}, \qquad (2)$$

in which $\Delta U_e^{(1)}$ represents the change in the internal energy during evaporation of one mol of liquid at the given temperature and vapour pressure, whereas term $\Delta U_e^{(2)}$, in absolute value much smaller, is the change in the internal energy of vapour during isothermal expansion to zero pressure. The first term in Eq. (2) may be further replaced by the relation

$$\Delta U_{\rm c}^{(1)} = H_{\rm v} - P^0 \,\Delta V,\tag{3}$$

and the second one by

$$\Delta U_{c}^{(2)} = \int_{0}^{P^{0}} \left[T(\partial v_{g} / \partial T)_{P} + P(\partial v_{g} / \partial P)_{T} \right] dP .$$
⁽⁴⁾

If the deviation of the state behaviour of vapour from the ideal behaviour is in the region of low pressures expressed by the second virial coefficient, we obtain

$$\Delta U_{c} = \Delta U_{c,id} + K, \qquad (5)$$

where

$$K = P^{0}(v_{1} - B + TB'), \quad B' = dB/dT.$$

Collection Czechoslov. Chem. Commun. [Vol. 42] [1977]

To be able to determine the dependence of the total error in the calculated cohesion energy on errors in its individual terms in more detail, we can rearrange Eq. (5) into the dimensionless form

$$\Delta U_{\rm c}/RT = (H_{\rm v}/RT) - 1 + K', \qquad (6)$$

with

$$K' \equiv K/RT = 1 - \Delta z + (P^0 B'/R).$$
 (7)

Considering that the value of term H_v/RT is equal approximately to 10 in a sufficiently wide vicinity of the normal boiling point temperature (Pictet-Trouton rule), the value of Δz , which equals approximately unity, may be determined with an accuracy by an order lower. As long as the heat of vaporization is calculated from data on vapour pressures, the resulting error of such heats does not usually drop below 1-2% (ref.^{8.9}). In this case it is therefore sufficient to determine the value of Δz . ($\Delta z \approx z_g$) from relation (7) with a 10-20% error, which may be achieved by usual estimation methods or directly by approximating $\Delta z = 1$. Only if we employ experimental heats of vaporization, whose error is 0.1-0.2% (ref.¹⁰), we must proceed with term Δz more carefully as in this case its error cannot exceed 1-2%.

Now let us turn to term P^0B'/R and find out the relation between magnitudes of terms P^0B'/R and $1 - \Delta z$. The value of $1 - \Delta z$ reaches in the examined range of temperatures values between 0.01 and 0.05. The term P^0B'/R may be for our purposes estimated from the generalized Redlich-Kwong equation, which yields¹¹

$$B = 0.0867(1 - 4.93/T_r^{1.5}) RT_k/P_k$$
(8)

and, consequently,

$$P^{0}B'/R = 0.64P_{r}^{0}/T_{r}^{2.5}, \qquad (9)$$

Table III contains values of P^0B'/R calculated for the range of temperatures and pressures of our experiments. It is obvious that the value of P^0B'/R exceeds that of the

		1	r			
T _r	0.002	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.04	
0.5	0.018	0.036	0.072	0.110	0.144	
0.6	0.012	0.023	0.043	0.069	0.092	
0.7	0.008	0.016	0.031	0.042	0.062	

TABLE III Dependence of the Term P^0B'/R on Temperature and Pressure

Collection Czechoslov. Chem. Commun. [Vol. 42] [1977]

TABLE IV								
Cohesion Energies of Format	es, Acetates	, and Propional	es					
Constants	t, °C	$\Delta U_{\rm c}^{*}$ cal mol ⁻¹	e^{n_1} e^{n_1} e^{n_2}	K_1 cal mol ⁻¹	K_2 cal mol ⁻¹	$\Delta U_{\rm c}$ cal mol ⁻¹	Deviation cal mol ⁻¹	
			2	Aethyl formate				
$A = 5.1147.10^{6}$	20.10	6 269-8	61.6	47-8	. 45-6	6 317-6	61-79	
B = 1.625	31.57	6 060-2	62-7	71-5	63-6	6 131-8	- 4.99	
	39-98	5 915-0	63-6	93-9	79-8	6 008-9	3-22	
				Ethyl formate				
	30-65	6 946-4	81.5	40-8	43-2	6 987-2	4.39	
$A = 1.4463 \cdot 10^7$	39-98	6 756-8	82-6	56-5	56.3	6813-3	- 7.65	
B = 1.735	54.16	6 484 9	84-5	88-8	81.8	6 573-7	3.28	
			Ч	ropyl formate				
	52.39	7 895.8	101-5	46-9	45.2	7 942-8	9.74	
	57-08	7 794-0	102-2	55.2	51.7	7 849-2	- 1.75	
$A = 1.2015 . 10^7$	69-98	7 531-4	104-1	84.1	73-4	7 615.5	-10.73	
B = 1.585	77.18	7 392-0	105-2	104-7	88-4	7 496.6	- 3.76	
	80.89	7 310-6	105-7	116-7	97-0	7 427-3	- 8-92	
	89-68	7 140-7	107-2	149-4	119-8	7 290-1	15-51	
			B	utyl formate				
	72.18	8 570-1	121-8	I	49-0	8 619-1	- 5.29	
$A = 5.7896 \cdot 10^7$	80.89	8 405-7	123-1	Ι	62.2	8 467-9	9-63	
B = 1.835	89.68	8 209-5	124-4	I	78-3	8 287-8	- 4.33	
			2	fethyl acetate				
	30-65	0.660 7	80-5	41-0	39-5	7 140-0	- 3.16	
$A = 1.2698 . 10^7$	39-98	6 928-6	81.6	57-6	52.1	6 986-2	3-44	
B = 1.705	54.16	6 637-5	83-4	92-3	76-9	6 729-7	- 2.21	
	57-08	6 580·5	83.8	101-0	83-0	6 681-6	2.01	

948

1.

Svoboda, Veselý, Holub, Pick :

	16-2	- 7-68	- 9-23	2.94	6.31		0.75	- 4-25	3.11	0.45		11-94	- 1.90	- 29-74	31-13	-11.27		- 1.27	- 5.88	9.96	- 2·74
	7 474-6	7 380-6	7 165-9	7 062-9	6 856-0		8 200-6	8 061-6	7 932-6	7 694-1		7 558-1	7 474-8	7 251-7	7 077-0	6 966-4		8 126-7	7 982-1	7 864-0	7 616-5
	51.3	58.8	83-9	101-2	137-4		43-5	54.0	65-9	91-2		47-8	54.7	78-0	103-0	127-2		46-2	57.3	69·8	96.4
Ethyl acetate	54-4	64-1	0-86	122-1	174-3	Propyl acetate	Ι	[I	Ι	fethyl propionate	49-1	57-9	88.6	123-0	157-9	Sthyl propionate	I	I	I	I
	102-4	103-1	105-2	106.4	108-6		121.5	122-8	124-0	126-4	2	100-6	101-3	123-2	105-7	106.4	ш	121-4	122.8	124-0	126.4
	7 420.1	7 316.5	7 067-9	6 940-9	6 681-7		8 157-1	8 007-6	7 866-7	7 602.8		7 509-1	7 416-9	7 163-1	6 953-7	6 808-5		8 080.5	7 924-9	7 794.1	7 520-1
	52.39	57-08	69-98	77.18	89.68		62.59	69-98	77.18	89-68		52.39	57-08	69-98	80.89	89.68		62.59	69-98	77.18	89.68
			4 6.5768 10 ⁶	R - 1.465				$4 = 2.0014 \cdot 10^{7}$	R = 1.625					$4 = 4.4791 10^{6}$	R - 1.385					$A = 1.8800 10^{7}$	B = 1.615

949

expression $1 - \Delta z$. This means that term P^0B'/R cannot be neglected in calculations. The outlined *a priori* analysis and tabulated results lead us to the conclusion that if the error in the heat of vaporization exceeds 1%, the use of any expression for cohesion energy which is more accurate than

$$\Delta U_{\rm c} = H_{\rm v} - RT,\tag{10}$$

is not justified at temperatures lower than that of the normal boiling point. This relation corresponds to the approximation K = 0. If correction K is not neglected, term P^0B'/R must be treated with appropriate care.

In view of the fact that our experiments yielded values of heats of vaporization at different temperatures, we tried to evaluate the temperature dependence of cohesion energies as well. There are several relations for this dependence in the literature¹²⁻¹⁴, of which we selected as the most suitable one the following two-constant equation

$$\Delta U_{\rm c} = A/v_1^{\rm B} \tag{11}$$

and investigated whether the constant B is for examined substances really constant within reasonable limits, which would confirm the validity of one of recommended one-constant relations.

Values of cohesion energies are in Table IV. Besides values of heats of vaporization from this and preceding work¹, we employed for the calculation literature data on second virial coefficients¹⁵, critical properties³, and constants in the Antoine equation¹⁶. Missing data were extrapolated or estimated: second virial coefficients of butyl formate and ethyl propionate by the Pitzer method⁶ and critical constants of butyl formate by the Lydersen method¹⁷. Constants in Eq. (11) are in the first column under the name of the ester, cohesion energies calculated from Eq. (5) for K = 0 are in the third column, molar volumes of liquids are in the fourth column, correction K calculated from published second virial coefficients is in the fifth column, correction K calculated from estimated virial coefficients in the sixth column, cohesion energy in the seventh column, and the last column contains differences between individual values of the cohesion energy and those calculated from Eq. (11). It is obvious from Table IV that Eq. (11) approximates well the temperature dependence of cohesion energy. Our investigation of possible independence of constant B in the homological series led to negative conclusion. By expanding the functional dependence into a Taylor series, assuming a fixed value for constant A and neglecting higher-order terms we namely obtain

$$A/v_1^{B+\Delta B} = A(1 - \Delta B \ln v_1)/v_1^{B}.$$
 (12)

Collection Czechoslov. Chem. Commun. [Vol. 42] [1977]

The percent deviation of the cohesion energy is then given by

$$\delta(\Delta U_{\rm c}) = 100 \,\Delta B \ln v_1 \,. \tag{13}$$

Taking into account that the order of the molar volume of liquid, v_1 , is ~100 cm³. . mol⁻¹, relation (13) may be rewritten to read

$$\delta(\Delta U_{\rm s}) \approx 500 \ \Delta B \ . \tag{14}$$

Obviously it follows from this relation that for $\delta(\Delta U_c) < 1\%$ to be valid, the error in the constant *B* in the considered temperature range and units should not go beyond the third position behind the decimal point, which is not satisfied. As it cannot be assumed that a minimization of constant *A* would compensate this error to a larger extent, the use of relation (11) with the generalized constant *B* for calculating cohesion energies of esters of aliphatic acids cannot be recommended.

REFERENCES -

- 1. Cihlář J., Hynek V., Svoboda V., Holub R.: This Journal, in press.
- 2. Svoboda V., Hynek V., Veselý F., Pick J.: This Journal 37, 3165 (1972).
- Timmermans J.: Physico-Chemical Constants of Pure Organic Compounds. Elsevier, Amsterdam 1950.
- 4. Weissberger A.: Organic Solvents, Vol. VIII. Interscience, New York 1955.
- 5. Watson K. M.: Ind. Eng. Chem. 35, 398 (1943).
- 6. Pitzer K. S., Curl R. F.: J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 79, 2369 (1957).
- Marshall D., Ramsay W.: Phil. Mag., V, 41, 38 (1896). cit. Timmermans J.: Physico-Chemical Constants of Pure Organic Compounds. Elsevier, Amsterdam 1950.
- 8. Polák J.: Thesis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague 1964.
- Reid C. R., Sherwood T. K.: The Properties of Gases and Liquids, II. Ed. Mc Graw Hill, London 1958.
- Hynek V., Svoboda V., Holub R.: Experimentální stanovení výparného tepla. Collected Papers of the Institute of Chemical Technology, Prague, N1, 99 (1974).
- 11. Redlich O., Kwong J. N. S.: Chem. Rev. 44, 233 (1949).
- 12. Frank H. S.: J. Chem. Phys. 13, 495 (1945).
- 13. Benson S. W.: J. Chem. Phys. 15, 367 (1947).
- Hildebrandt J. H., Scott R. L.: The Solubility of Nonelectrolytes, 3. Ed. Interscience, New York 1950.
- 15. Dymond J. H., Smith E. B.: The Virial Coefficients of Gases. Clarendon Press, Oxford 1969.
- Wichterle I., Linek J.: Antoine Vapour Pressure Constants of Pure Compounds. Academia, Prague 1971.
- 17. Lydersen A. L.: Estimation of Critical Properties of Organic Compounds. Madison, Wis. 1955.

Translated by K. Hlavatý.